



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

शिमला, मंगलवार 31 जनवरी, 2012 / 11 माघ 1933

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

बहुउद्देशीय परियोजनाएँ एवं विद्युत विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 23 जनवरी, 2012.

संख्या : विद्युत-छ (5)-71/2011.—यतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य विद्युत परिषद् लिमिटेड, जो कि भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम 1894 (1894 का पहला अधिनियम) की धारा 3 के खण्ड (सी.सी.) के अन्तर्गत सरकार के स्वामित्व और नियन्त्रण के अधीन एक निगम है, के द्वारा अपने व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामक मुहाल सनहाली, तहसील लड़भड़ोल, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश में उहल जल विद्युत परियोजना (तृतीय चरण) के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जित करनी आवश्यक हैं। अतएवं एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है।

2. यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को, जो इससे सम्बन्धित हैं या हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भू-अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा-4 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यरत सभी अधिकारियों, उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने तथा सर्वेक्षण करने और उस धारा द्वारा अपेक्षित अथवा अनुमत: सभी अन्य कार्यों को करने के लिए सहर्ष प्राधिकार देते हैं।

4. कोई भी ऐसा हितबद्ध व्यक्ति जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कथित भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के 30 दिनों की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य विद्युत परिषद् लिमिटेड, युनिट-2, मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दर्ज कर सकता है।

विवरणी

जिला	तहसील	मुहाल	खसरा नम्बर	रकबा (बीघों में)
मण्डी	लड़भड़ोल	सनहाली / 208	838 / 2	00-05-17
कुल कित्ता- 1			कुल रकबा- 00-05-17	

आदेश द्वारा,
हस्ताक्षरित /—
प्रधान सचिव (विद्युत)।

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 15th November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2010-Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Jasveer Kumar S/O Sh. Surender Nath, VPO Raipur Sohad, Tehsil & Distt. Una, H.P. V/s The Factory Manager, M/s Rangar Breweries Ltd. Plot No. 1 & 130 Industrial Area, Mehatpur, Distt. Una, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

1. “Whether claim of Sh. Jasveer Kumar S/O Sh. Surender Nath (Ex-Worker), VPO Raipur Sohad, Tehsil & Distt. Una, H.P. that he worked with M/s Rangar Breweries Ltd. Plot No. 1 & 130 Industrial Area, Mehatpur, Distt. Una from year, 2004 onwards as temporary fitter; whereas above management has denied the same, is proper and justified? If yes, what service benefits the above workman is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

2. “ *Whether the termination of the services of Sh. Jasveer Kumar S/O Sh. Surender Nath (Ex-Worker), VPO Raipur Sohad, Tehsil & Distt. Una by the Factory Manager, M/s Rangar Breweries Ltd. Plot No. 1 & 130 Industrial Area, Mehatpur, Distt. Una, H.P. w.e.f. 07.12.2009 without holding any enquiry and without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, seniority, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to?* ”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 16th November, 2011

No. 11-6/85(Lab) ID/Shimla/2011.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Kamal Deep Bhatia S/O Sh. H.S. Bhatia R/O Roop Colony, Village –Chailey, Near Mehli, Tehsil & Distt. Shimla, H.P. V/s The Managing Director, Agro Industrial Packaging India Ltd. Nigam Vihar, Shimla, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Kamal Deep Bhatia S/O Sh. H.S. Bhatia by the Managing Director, Agro Industrial Packaging India Ltd. Nigam Vihar, Shimla, (H.P.) w.e.f. 31.8.1998 without complying the provisions of section 25-F, 25-G & 25-H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, to what back wages, service benefits, and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 8th November, 2011

No. 11-2/93(Lab) ID/Solan/2011.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between President/ General Secretary, Kamla Dial Workers Union, (Reg. No. 322) Parwanoo, Distt. Solan, H.P. V/s Management of Kamla Dial & Devices Ltd. Sector-3, Parwanoo, Distt. Solan, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether miscellaneous demands raised by the Kamla Dial Workers Union, (Reg. No. 322) Parwanoo, Distt. Solan, H.P. before the Management of M/s Kamla Dial & Devices Ltd. Sector-3, Parwanoo, Distt. Solan, H.P. vide demand notice dated- 04.12.2010 (Copy-Enclosed) are proper and justified? If yes, what relief the aggrieved workmen are entitled to? If not, what its effects?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 30th November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that industrial disputes about the following issue exist between Sh. Makhan Singh S/O Sh. Ram Kishan, Village & P.O. Pubowal, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. V/S The Factory Manager, M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Makhan Singh S/O Sh. Ram Kishan, Village & P.O. Pubowal, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una w.e.f. 12.8.2010 by the management of M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. without issuing charge sheet, without conducting enquiry, without paying compensation and without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief, compensation & other service benefits the above aggrieved workman is entitled to?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 30th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that industrial disputes about the following issue exist between Sh. Pawan Kumar S/O Sh. Faquir Chand, VPO Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. V/S The Factory Manager, M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Pawan Kumar S/O Sh. Faquir Chand, VPO Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. w.e.f. 12.8.2010 by the management of M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. without issuing charge sheet, without conducting enquiry, without paying compensation and without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief, compensation & other service benefits the above aggrieved workman is entitled to?”

Sd/-

*Labour Commissioner.***DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT****NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 30th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that industrial disputes about the following issue exist between Sh. Raj Kumar S/O Sh. Bhagat Ram, VPO Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. V/S The Factory Manager, M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Raj Kumar S/O Sh. Bhagat Ram, VPO Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, w.e.f. 12.8.2010 by the management of M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. without issuing charge sheet, without conducting enquiry, without paying compensation and without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief, compensation & other service benefits the above aggrieved workman is entitled to?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

+

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that industrial disputes about the following issue exist between Sh. Mangat Ram S/O Sh. Achhar Ram, VPO Gondpur, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. V/S The Factory Manager, M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Mangat Ram S/O Sh. Achhar Ram, VPO Gondpur, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, w.e.f. 24.7.2010 by the management of M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. without issuing charge sheet, without conducting enquiry, without paying compensation and without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief, compensation & other service benefits the above aggrieved workman is entitled to?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 30th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that industrial disputes about the following issue exist between Sh. Pardeep Singh S/O Sh. Narinder Singh, VPO Dulehar, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. V/S The Factory Manager, M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Pardeep Singh S/O Sh. Narinder Singh, VPO Dulehar, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una w.e.f. 12.8.2010 by the management of M/s Saber Papers Limited, Village & Post Office Gondpur Bulla, Tehsil Haroli, Distt. Una, H.P. without issuing charge sheet, without conducting enquiry, without paying compensation and without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief, compensation & other service benefits the above aggrieved workman is entitled to?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 22nd November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Partap Singh S/O Sh. Jai Singh, R/O Village-Bhadoo, P.O. Gharwasra, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. V/S The Executive Engineer, HPPWD(B & R) Division Dharampur, Distt. Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Sh. Partap Singh S/O Sh. Jai Singh, R/O Village-Bhadoo, P.O. Gharwasra, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, by the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08.7.2005, without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above Ex-Worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 14th November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/08/Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Rajesh Kumar S/O Sh. Thakur Singh, Village-Khalanu, P.O. Panjalag, Tehsil Ladbhrol, Distt. Mandi, H.P. V/s The Additional Superintending Engineer, HPSEB Electrical Division Joginder Nagar, Distt. Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services/ giving breaks in service of Sh. Rajesh Kumar S/O Sh. Thakur Singh, Village- Khalanu, P.O. Panjalag, Tehsil Ladbhrol, Distt. Mandi, (H.P.) by The Additional Superintending Engineer, HPSEB Electrical Division Joginder Nagar, Distt. Mandi, H.P. from time to time, w.e.f. 21.9.1999 to 20.7.2000 and finally w.e.f. 21.10.2000, without compliance of provisions contained in Section 25-F, G & H of the *ibid* Act, as abandonment of services has not been established, is legal & justified, if not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above workman is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001 24th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Ram Sai S/O Sh. Kangnu Ram, Village-Langehar, P.O. Giyun, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. V/S The Executive Engineer, HPPWD(B & R) Division Dharampur, Distt. Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Sh. Ram Sai S/O Sh. Kangnu Ram, Village-Langehar, P.O. Giyun, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, by the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08.7.2005, without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above Ex-Worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 24th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that industrial disputes about the following issue exist between Sh. Manoj Kumar S/O Sh. Mahant Ram, R/O Village Jahanjahal, P.O. Ropadi, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. V/S The Executive Engineer, HPPWD(B & R) Division Dharampur, Distt. Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Sh. Manoj Kumar S/O Sh. Mahant Ram, R/O Village Jahanjahal, P.O. Ropadi, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, by the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08.7.2005, without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above Ex-Worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 24th November, 2011

No. 11-6/85(Lab) ID/Shimla/2011.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. R.L. Dogra, General Secretary, Himachal Transport Workers Union, Fay Lodge, Cart Road, Bus Stand, Shimla-I on behalf of Sh. Rishi Raj, (Worker) S/O Late Sh. Ram Lal Gupta, R/O Village- Mohari, P.O. Shoghi, Tehsil & District Shimla, H.P. V/s The Managing Director, HRTC Shimla.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether demand of Sh. R.L. Dogra, General Secretary, Himachal Transport Workers Union, Fay Lodge, Cart Road, Bus Stand, Shimla-I vide demand notice dated-25.5.2010 before The Managing Director, Himachal Road Transport Corporation for encashment of Compensatory Leave to Sh. Rishi Raj, (Retired Conductor) S/O Late Sh. Ram Lal Gupta, R/O Village- Mohari, P.O. Shoghi, Tehsil & District Shimla, H.P., as such encashment was also allowed to Sh. Sant Ram, Retired Conductor, who was similarly situated as Sh. Rishi Raj, is legal and justified? If yes, to what relief and compensation Sh. Rishi Raj, (Retired Conductor) S/O Late Sh. Ram Lal Gupta is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 8th Nov., 2011*

No. 11-2/93(Lab)ID/10/Solan.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Satinder S/O Sh. Leela Ram, R/O Village Bathival, P.O. Dilman, Distt. Sirmour, (H.P.) V/s i) Hony. Advisor, M/s Sanitation Promotion & Development Society, 5-B, Pocket-2, Sector-6, Dwarka, New Delhi (**Contractor Society**) and ii) The Registrar, Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture & Forestry, Nauni, Distt. Solan, H.P. (**Principal Employer**).

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Satinder S/O Sh. Leela Ram, R/O Village Bathival, P.O. Dilman, Distt. Sirmour, (H.P.) w.e.f. 26.10.2009 by i) Hony. Advisor, M/s Sanitation Promotion & Development Society, 5-B, Pocket-2, Sector-6, Dwarka, New Delhi (**Contractor Society**) and ii) The Registrar, Dr. Y.S. Parmar University of Horticulture & Forestry, Nauni, Distt. Solan, H.P. (**Principal Employer**), however no Registration Certificate and License was respectively obtained by the Principal Employer & Contractor as provided in The Contract Labour (R & A) Act, 1970 and not giving her an opportunity of consideration for re-employment by the employers from the dates her juniors were allegedly employed, thus in violation of provisions of Section 25-F, 25-G & H of the *ibid* Act and further demand to declare her direct employee of the Principal Employer, is legal and justified, if not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits, compensation and relief the above worker is entitled to from the above Employers/Management?”

Sd/-

*Labour Commissioner.***DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT****NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001 23rd November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Smt. Savitri Devi W/O Sh. Sher Singh, Village & P.O. Tanehad, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. V/S The Executive Engineer, HPPWD(B & R) Division Dharampur, Distt. Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Savitri Devi W/O Sh. Sher Singh, Village & P.O. Tanehad, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi by the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08.7.2005, without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above Ex-Worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 23rd November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Jai Singh S/o Sh. Dumnu Ram Village-Langehar, P.O. Giyun, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. V/S The Executive Engineer, HPPWD(B & R) Division Dharampur, Distt. Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Sh. Jai Singh S/o Sh. Dumnu Ram Village-Langehar, P.O. Giyun, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, by the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08.7.2005, without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above Ex-Worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 23rd November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Basant Singh S/O Sh. Dumnu Ram, Village-Langehar, P.O. Giyun, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. V/S The Executive Engineer, HPPWD(B & R) Division Dharampur, Distt. Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Sh. Basant Singh S/O Sh. Dumnu Ram, Village-Langehar, P.O. Giyun, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, by the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, Distt. Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08.7.2005, without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above Ex-Worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 16th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/Mandi/2011.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Shyam Singh S/O Sh. Swaru Ram R/O Village Dadoun, P.O. Cheuni, Tehsil Thunag, Distt. Mandi, H.P. V/s The Sr. Executive Engineer, HPSEB (Elect.) Division Gohar, Distt. Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Shyam Singh S/O Sh. Swaru Ram R/O Village Dadoun, P.O. Cheuni, Tehsil Thunag, Distt. Mandi, H.P. by The Sr. Executive Engineer, HPSEB (Elect.) Division Gohar, Distt. Mandi, (H.P.) w.e.f. 16.3.2000 without issuing charge sheet, without conducting enquiry and without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits, the above workers are entitled to from the above employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 30th November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Yash Pal S/O Sh. Prakash Chand, Village- Tayar, P.O. Khukhai, Tehsil Bangana, Distt. Una, H.P. V/s M/s C & C Construction Company Ltd. VPO Samoor Kalan, Distt. Una, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Yash Pal S/O Sh. Prakash Chand, Supervisor, R/O Village- Tayar, P.O. Khukhai, Tehsil Bangana, Distt. Una, (H.P.) by the management of M/s C & C Construction Company Ltd. VPO Samoor Kalan, Distt. Una, H.P. without issuing any charge sheet, without conducting enquiry and without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is proper and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 08 December, 2011*

No. 11-1/95(Lab)ID/11/Rampur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between Sh. Amrit Singh S/O Sh. Vijay Singh, Village Barada, P.O.- Arahal, Tehsil Rohru, Distt. Shimla, H.P. V/s The Executive Engineer, HPSEB Division Rohru, Distt. Shimla, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“ Whether termination of the services of Sh. Sh. Amrit Singh S/O Sh. Vijay Singh, Village Barada, P.O.- Arahal, Tehsil Rohru, Distt. Shimla, w.e.f. February/March, 1998 by the TheExecutive Engineer, HPSEB Division Rohru, Distt. Shimla, H.P. without complying withthe provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what relief of service benefits including reinstatement, seniority and back wages the above workman is entitled to?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 14th December, 2011*

No. 11-2/93(Lab) ID/ Solan/2010.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exist between The President and General Secretary, Base Corporation Workers & Employees Union, Village Nagali, P.O. Ochghat, Tehsil & District Solan-Affiliated to AITUC & C/O AITUC Office, Saproon, Solan, H.P. -173211 V/s The Factory Manager, Base Corporation Ltd. Village- Nagali, P.O. Ochghat, Tehsil & District Solan, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

1. “Whether miscellaneous demands put forth vide demand notice dated-26.8.2009 (**Copy-enclosed**) except at Sr. No. 09 & 13 by The President and General Secretary, Base Corporation Workers and Employees Union, Village- Nagali, PO Ochghat, Tehsil & Distt. Solan- Affiliated to AITUC C/O AITUC Office, Saproon, Solan,H.P.-173211, to be fulfilled by the Occupier / Factory Manager / Management, Base Corporation Ltd. Village-Nagali, PO- Ochghat, Tehsil & Distt. Solan, H.P. are maintainable, legal and justified, If yes, what monetary and other service benefits and compensation the workers are entitled to?”
2. Whether action of the Management (Occupier/Factory Manager), M/s Base Corporation Ltd. Village- Nagali, PO- Ochghat, Tehsil & Distt. Solan, H.P. to terminate the services of its worker Sh. Babloo & 9 other workers w.e.f. 24.12.2009, Sh. Sanjeev Sharma & 11 others w.e.f. 25.12.2009(**as per Annexure-A**) and Sh. Ranjeet Kumar & 14 other workers w.e.f. 26.12.2009 (**as per Annexure-B**) without serving any charge sheet, without holding any enquiry and without applying for permission to the Conciliation Officer, during the pendency of conciliation proceedings before the Labour Officercum-Conciliation Officer, Solan Zone, Solan as provided in Section 33(1), are maintainable, legal and justified? If not, what relief of service benefits including reinstatement, seniority and compensation the aggrieved workers are entitled to from above employer/management?”
3. “Whether the strike resorted to by the 45 workmen (whose names are given therein the Annexure A, B & C w.e.f. 15.12.2009 onwards as alleged by the management of Base Corporation Ltd. Village- Nagali, PO- Ochghat, Tehsil & Distt. Solan is legal and justified? If yes, to what wages & relief the workmen in strike are entitled to ? If not, what its legal effects upon the workmen on strike.”
4. “ Whether the lock out declared w.e.f. 15.12.2009 onwards in the factory for 45 workmen by the Management of M/s Base Corporation Ltd. Village-Nagali, PO- Ochghat, Tehsil & Distt. Solan as alleged by the Union Representatives of Base Corporation Workers & Employees Union, Saproon, Solan, is legal and justified? If not, to what wages & relief the effected workmen due to continuing lockout are entitled to? If yes, what its legal effect upon the management who declared lockout.”
5. “ Whether the suspension from service w.e.f. 16.12.2009 of 8 workmen S/Sh. Amar Singh, Surinder Suri, Amit, Tilak Raj, Suresh Kumar, Inder Sharma, Bhagat Ram & Ratti Ram due to infighting between the workmen as on 14.12.2009, by the management of M/s Base Corporation Ltd. Village-Nagali, PO- Ochghat, Tehsil & Distt. Solan & that too during the pendency of conciliation proceedings, is legal and justified? If not, to what service benefits & relief the above named suspended workmen are entitled to?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 08 December, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2011/Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that industrial disputes about the following issue exist between Sh. Inder Singh S/O Sh. Balak Ram, R/O Village Drubal, P.O. Kot, Sub Tehsil Kotli, Distt. Mandi, H.P. V/S The Executive Engineer, HPSEB Electrical Division Mandi, Distt. Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether termination of the services of Sh. Inder Singh S/O Sh. Balak Ram R/O Village Drubal, P.O. Kot, Sub Tehsil Kotli, Distt. Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, HPSEB Electrical Division Mandi, District Mandi, (H.P.) during April, 2000 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits, the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला—171002, 30 जनवरी, 2012

संख्या: आई0पी0एच0—बी(एच) 8—52/2011—कांगड़ा.—यतः राज्यपाल हिमाचल प्रदेश को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः गांव गंगथ तहसील नूरपुर, जिला कांगड़ा में उठाऊ सिंचाई योजना महाल गंगथ के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जित करनी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है ।

2. यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को जो इस से सम्बन्धित हैं, या हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा-4 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है ।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यरत सभी अधिकारियों उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने तथा सर्वेक्षण करने और उस धारा द्वारा अपेक्षित अथवा अनुमत: सभी अन्य कार्यों को करने के लिए सहर्ष प्राधिकार देते हैं।

4. कोई भी हितबद्ध व्यक्ति जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कथित भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के तीस दिनों की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक निर्माण विभाग के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दायर कर सकता है।

विस्तृत विवरणी

जिला	तहसील	गांव	खसरा नं०	क्षेत्र (हैक्टेयरों में)
कांगड़ा	नूरपुर	गंगथ	1625	0-01-40
			1626	0-03-15
			1627	0-00-49
			किता-3	0-05-04

आदेश द्वारा,
हस्ताक्षरित/—
प्रधान सचिव (सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य)।

सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171002, 30 जनवरी, 2012

संख्या: आई०पी०एच०-बी(एच) 8-53/2011-कांगड़ा.—यतः राज्यपाल हिमाचल प्रदेश को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः महाल व मौजा बडुखर, तहसील इन्दौरा, जिला कांगड़ा में नलकूप नम्बर-78 के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जित करनी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है।

2. यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को जो इस से सम्बन्धित हैं, या हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा-4 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यरत सभी अधिकारियों उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने तथा सर्वेक्षण करने और उस धारा द्वारा अपेक्षित अथवा अनुमत: सभी अन्य कार्यों को करने के लिए सहर्ष प्राधिकार देते हैं।

4. कोई भी हितबद्ध व्यक्ति जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कथित भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के तीस दिनों की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक निर्माण विभाग के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दायर कर सकता है।

विस्तृत विवरणी

जिला	तहसील	गांव	खसरा नं०	क्षेत्र (हैक्टेयरों में)
कांगड़ा	इन्दौरा	बडुखर	1918	0-01-99
			919	0-01-47
			किता-2	0-03-46

आदेश द्वारा,
हस्ताक्षरित /—
प्रधान सचिव (सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य)।

सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला—171002, 30 जनवरी, 2012

संख्या: आई०पी०एच०—बी(एच) 8—54/2011—कांगड़ा.—यतः राज्यपाल हिमाचल प्रदेश को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः गांव ढन, ज्वाली तहसील ज्वाली, जिला कांगड़ा में **T/Well Pharian Village Jawali N.C. Hamlets Harjan Abadi** के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जित करनी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्द्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है।

2. यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को जो इस से सम्बन्धित हैं, या हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा-4 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यरत सभी अधिकारियों उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने तथा सर्वेक्षण करने और उस धारा द्वारा अपेक्षित अथवा अनुमतः सभी अन्य कार्यों को करने के लिए सहर्ष प्राधिकार देते हैं।

4. कोई भी हितबद्ध व्यक्ति जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कथित भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के तीस दिनों की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक निर्माण विभाग के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दायर कर सकता है।

विस्तृत विवरणी

जिला	तहसील	गांव	खसरा नं०	क्षेत्र (हैक्टेयरों में)
कांगड़ा	ज्वाली	ढन	1407/1	0-00-46

आदेश द्वारा,
हस्ताक्षरित /—
प्रधान सचिव (सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य)।

सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171002, 30 जनवरी, 2012

संख्या: आई0पी0एच0-बी(एच) 8-55/2011-कांगड़ा.—यतः राज्यपाल हिमाचल प्रदेश को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः गांव मतलाहड़, तहसील ज्वाली, जिला कांगड़ा में नलकूप मतलाहड़ **W.S.S.** के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अर्जित करनी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्वारा यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिक्षेत्र में जैसा कि निम्न विवरणी में निर्दिष्ट किया गया है उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन अपेक्षित है ।

2. यह अधिसूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को जो इस से सम्बन्धित हैं, या हो सकते हैं, की जानकारी के लिए भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा-4 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है ।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश इस समय इस उपक्रम में कार्यरत सभी अधिकारियों उनके कर्मचारियों और श्रमिकों को इलाके की किसी भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने तथा सर्वेक्षण करने और उस धारा द्वारा अपेक्षित अथवा अनुमतः सभी अन्य कार्यों को करने के लिए सहर्ष प्राधिकार देते हैं ।

4. कोई भी हितबद्ध व्यक्ति जिसे उक्त परिक्षेत्र में कथित भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह इस अधिसूचना के प्रकाशित होने के तीस दिनों की अवधि के भीतर लिखित रूप में भू-अर्जन समाहर्ता, कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक निर्माण विभाग के समक्ष अपनी आपत्ति दायर कर सकता है ।

विस्तृत विवरणी

जिला	तहसील	गांव	खसरा नं०	क्षेत्र (हैक्टेयरों में)
कांगड़ा	ज्वाली	मतलाहड़	1004/432/1	0-03-50

आदेश द्वारा,
हस्ताक्षरित /—
प्रधान सचिव (सिंचाई एवं जन स्वास्थ्य)।